

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/406,486	09/27/1999	YOICHIRO SAKO	450100-02102	1659		
20999	7590 08/23/2002					
	FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. L, NY 10151		BACKER,	BACKER, FIRMIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3621			
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
·		09/406,4	186	SAKO ET AL.				
· Of	fic Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Firmin B		3621				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communic y	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet with the d	correspondence addr	ess			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply - Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FO IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC time may be available under the provisions of IONTHS from the mailling date of this commur reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or reply is specified above, the maximum statury within the set or extended period for reply will ived by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. days, a reply within the stutory period will apply and ith, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tire atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this comi (E) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>20 June 2002</u>						
2a)⊠ This	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 28	b) This action i	s non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
· <u> </u>	(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim								
8) Claim	(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Application Pa	pers							
,	ecification is objected to by the			•				
	awing(s) filed on is/are: a							
	cant may not request that any object	-	•					
	oposed drawing correction filed			oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
•	th or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.						
_	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	wledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
·	b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
<u></u>	Certified copies of the priority de		• •	<del></del>				
<del></del>	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PC	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	ne translation of the foreign lang vledgment is made of a claim for	• .	• •					
Attachment(s)		·						
2) 🔲 Notice of Dra	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-				

Art Unit: 3621

## Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment file on June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2002 for letter for patent filed on September 27, 1999 in which claims 1-21 were presented for examination. In the amendment, claims 1,14-16,18 and 20 have been amended, no claim has been canceled, and claims 22 has been added. Claims 1-22 are pending in the letter.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosenthal (U.S. Patent No. 6,148,301).

Art Unit: 2161

- 3. As per claims 1, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing method (information distributed system 10) for distributing information subjected to billing (see abstract, fig 2, column 3 lines 36-52), characterized in that an amount of money billed every time the information is distributed once is determined depending on the number of times that the information is distributed within a predetermined time period (see abstract, figs 1-3, column 5 lines 56-6 line 16).
- 4. As per claims 2-8, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing method wherein the time period is one from a predetermined time point to a current time point, a definite term from a predetermined time point, one from an optionally set time point to a current time point or a second optionally set time point, a definite term down to the current time point and until an optionally set time point (see column 6 lines 59-7 line 10).
- 5. As per claims 9, 10, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing method wherein when the number of times of distribution reaches a previously set number of times or more, the amount of money billed for the information is raised or reduced (see column 6 lines 59-7 line 10).
- 6. As per claims 11, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing method wherein the amount of money billed for the information is determined depending on a value obtained by substituting the number of times of distribution for a previously set function (see column 8 lines 16-47).

Art Unit: 2161

- 7. As per claims 12, 13, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing method wherein the information is audio information, video information, game information or book information, or any combination of them distributed by using wireless communication or wired communication (see column 4 lines 25-45).
- 8. As per claims 14, 15, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing system (*information distributed system 10* for distributing information subjected to billing (*see abstract, fig 2, column 3 lines 36-52*), characterized in that an amount of money billed every time the information is distributed once is determined in a composite manner depending on the respective numbers of times that the information is distributed in a plurality of set terms (*see abstract, figs 1-3, column 5 lines 56-6 line 16, see also column 1 lines 61-2 line 9*).
- 9. As per claims 16, 18, 20 and 22, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing system (information distributed system 10) for distributing information subjected to billing (see abstract, figs 1-3, column 5 lines 56-6 line 16), comprising an information distributing means for distributing the information to a user (subscriber/recipient, 14) and a transaction means comprised of a means for counting a number of distribution of the information to a user and a means for controlling a billing system depending on the number of distribution counted (see abstract, fig 2, column 3 lines 36-52) also (see column 9 line 5-17).

Application/Control Number: 09/406,486 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

10. As per claims 17, 19, 21, Rosenthal teaches an information distributing system wherein the transaction means comprises a means for comparing the counted distribution number with a reference value (see column 9 line 5-17).

### Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - a. Applicant argues that the prior art (*Rosenthal*) fails to teach amount of money billed every time the information is distributed once is determined depending on the number of times that the information is distributed within a predetermined time period that the information has been distributed to the user. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's characterization of Rosenthal's inventive concept. Rosenthal clearly teach that the information provider is billed based on the number of time each document is request. Furthermore, Applicant seems to argue that the document in Rosenthal's inventive concept is request but not distributed. However, in paragraph 6 lines 5-16, Rosenthal teach the transmission of each document to the subscriber. This is to inferred that document is requested and in turn transmitted to the request which implies a distribution process.

#### Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2161

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

Firmin Backer

August 20, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600